




Monitoring Upregulation of PD-L1 in Cancer Associated Macrophage-Like Cells in Blood Predicts Clinical Outcomes in Metastatic Cancer Patients Treated with PD-L1 Immunotherapies

Daniel L. Adams¹, Massimo Cristofanilli², Carolina Reduzzi², William V. Williams³, Giuseppe Del Priore³, Saranya Chumsri⁴, Toshiaki Iwase⁵, Naoto T. Ueno⁵, Alexis B. Duffy¹, Cha-Mei Tang⁶

¹Creatv MicroTech, Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852, ²Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY 10021, ³BriaCell Therapeutics Corp., Philadelphia, PA 19104, ⁴Mayo Clinic Cancer Center, Jacksonville, FL 32224, ⁵University of Hawai'i Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI 96813, ⁶Creatv MicroTech, Rockville, MD 20850

ABSTRACT

Numerous studies have shown the efficacy of PD-L1/PD-1 based immunotherapies (IMT) for treating patients with metastatic Breast Cancer (mBC) when there is high expression of PD-L1 in their tumor cells and/or tumor immune cells. However, many patients with high PD-L1 tumors do not respond to IMT, and some patients with negative/low PD-L1 tumors may respond. The poor correlations between Immunohistochemistry (IHC) scores and IMT responses are often attributed to the dynamic nature of PD-L1 which may upregulate after chemotherapy, radiation, or other systemic therapies, which is not quantified by IHC tumor staining. Recently, a number of clinical trials have described PD-L1 upregulation in giant phagocytic stromal macrophages found in circulation, i.e. Cancer Associated Macrophage-Like cells (CAMLs), that correlates with IMT responses in solid tumors. We conducted a prospective pilot study to monitor the peripheral blood of n=67 mBC patients undergoing systemic treatment with IMT in combination with other therapies, to evaluate CAML PD-L1 score prior to & post IMT induction with clinical outcome analysis at 2 years.


MATERIALS & METHODS

In a prospective pilot study of previously treated mBC patients n=67, new lines of systemic therapy were started in combination with IMT for active progressive metastatic disease. Demographics can be found in Table 1. We isolated CAMLs from 7.5 ml baseline (T0) blood using the LifeTracDx® PD-L1 test and scored PD-L1 as high or low. If possible, a follow-up sample (T1) was taken (~47 days) after IMT induction. Patient's progressive free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) hazard ratios (HRs) were analyzed by censored univariate analysis based on RECIST v1.1 over 2 years.

Table 1: Demographic Table

Histology	IDC	52 (78%)
	ILC	2 (3%)
	IBC	2 (3%)
	Other	11 (16%)
ICI Treatment	Pembrolizumab	57 (85%)
	Nivolumab	2 (3%)
	Atezolizumab	8 (12%)
CAML PD-L1 at T0	Low/Negative	35 (52%)
	Medium/High	28 (42%)
CAML PD-L1 at T1	Low/Negative	27 (40%)
	Medium/High	25 (37%)
Tissue PD-L1	<1%	1 (2%)
	≥1%	45 (67%)
	Unknown	21 (31%)
Hormone	ER positive	25 (37%)
	PR positive	26 (39%)
	HER-2 positive	9 (13%)
Race	TNBC	35 (52%)
	White	43 (64%)
	Black	7 (10%)
	Hispanic	4 (6%)
	Asian	3 (5%)
	Other	11 (16%)
ECOG	0	34 (51%)
	≥1	33 (49%)
Visceral	Positive	43 (64%)
	Negative	24 (36%)
Age	Median=58.2 (range 32-73)	
Prior Therapies for mBC	Median=2 (range 0-14)	

Figure 1. T0 CAML PD-L1 PFS



T0 CAML PD-L1 OS






Figure 2. T1 CAML PD-L1 PFS



T1 CAML PD-L1 OS



RESULTS

- Baseline T0 PD-L1 CAML data was available for 94% (n=63/67) of patients
- 44% (n=28/63) of patients had high CAML PD-L1 which was not correlated with PFS or OS (Fig 1)
- Post IMT, T1 PD-L1 CAML data, was available for 78% (n=52/67) of patients
- 58% (n=30/52) of patients had high CAML PD-L1 which significantly correlated with improved PFS and improved OS (Fig 2)
- Patients with consistently low CAML PD-L1 at T0 & T1 had the poorest responses (Fig 3)
- Patients with increased CAML PD-L1 after IMT induction had better responses (Figs 2 & 3)
- Patients with consistently high PD-L1 at T0 & T1 had the best response rates (Fig 3 & 4)

REFERENCES

- Adams DL, et al. "Sequential Tracking of PD-L1 Expression and RAD50 Induction in Circulating Tumor and Stromal Cells of Lung Cancer Patients Undergoing Radiotherapy" *CCR*, 23(19) 2017
- Adams DL et al. "Circulating giant macrophages as a potential biomarker of solid tumors" *PNAS*, 111(9) 2014
- Cha JH "Mechanisms Controlling PD-L1 Expression in Cancer" *Mol Cell*. 76(3) 2019

Figure 3. CAML PD-L1 Changes Between T0 and T1

	mPFS	mOS
Low to Low N=16	2.4 (2.0-6.0)	6.9 (5.0-8.4)
High to Low N=10	6.0 (2.0-7.1)	6.4 (3.5-12.1)
Low to High N=12	6.3 (2.6-10.0)	13.1 (13.1->24.0)
High to High N=14	13.8 (6.1->24.0)	21.0 (17.2->24.0)




Figure 4. Monitoring PD-L1 and CAML size. CAML size initially dropped after start of chemotherapy, followed by a gradual increase, corresponding to progression by PET/CT. In parallel PD-L1 in CAMLs increased. Patient started pembrolizumab and had initial stable (partial response) disease by PET/CT. However, CAML size then increased and CAML PD-L1 decreased, which corresponded with new progressive disease.

CONCLUSIONS

- We describe a blood-based biopsy which can monitor dynamic PD-L1 changes in circulating tumor immune cells and may predict enhanced clinical benefit to PD-L1 IMTs in mBC.
- While an initial pilot study on a small population of patients, PD-L1 expression on CAMLs appears to identify patients with improved IMT responses and suggests larger validation studies are needed.

FUNDING SOURCE

This work was supported by BriaCell Therapeutics (NCT03066947). The U.S. Army Research Office (ARO) and Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) (W911NF-14-C-0098). The content of the information does not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the US Government.